



Commonly applicable RFC KPIs

RFC Orient/East-Med


December 2023 - Updated figures in
January 2024

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of offered capacity – PaPs (at X-11)




Volume of requested capacity – PaPs (at X-8)




**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Number of requests – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of PCS dossiers)



Number of conflicts – PaPs (at X-8)
(number of conflicting PCS dossiers)



**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of pre-booked capacity – PaPs (at X-7.5)



2023	for TT 2024	7.3 mio (path) km
2022	for TT 2023	8.2 mio (path) km
2021	for TT 2022	8.7 mio (path) km

Ratio of pre-booked capacity (to the volume of capacity offered at x-11)




2023	for TT 2024	41.4%
2022	for TT 2023	48.1%
2021	for TT 2022	47.2%


**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Average planned speed of PaPs (calculation per O/D pairs, km/h)



Section and Length (km)






**This KPI should be perceived as qualitative as journey times might include commercial and operational stops.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Volume of offered capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X-2)




TT 2023		0.7 mio (path) km
TT 2022		1.1 mio (path) km
TT 2021		1.6 mio (path) km


**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

CAPACITY MANAGEMENT

Number of requests – Reserve Capacity (at X+12)
(number of PCS dossiers)




Volume of requested capacity – Reserve Capacity (at X+12)



**The figures refer to the capacity which the C-OSS of the RFC concerned publishes and pre-allocates. These might therefore not reflect the total amount of offered and pre-allocated PaPs along the RFC.*

OPERATIONS


Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)




(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay ≤ 30 minutes)



OPERATIONS


Punctuality at origin (RFC entry)




(delay ≤ 15 minutes)



Punctuality at destination (RFC exit)




(delay ≤ 15 minutes)



OPERATIONS

Number of trains crossing a border along the RFC*



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation.

OPERATIONS

Dwell times in border sections (planned and actual)

2022


Border crossing point	Values to publish (minutes)
Curtici – Lőkösháza	CFR-MÁV: 973 MÁV-CFR: 274
Golenti – Vidin	CFR-NRIC: 187 NRIC-CFR: 151
Giurgiu – Ruse	CFR-NRIC: 24 NRIC-CFR: 24

*The calculation of this KPI is based on the data in RNE's TIS. International freight trains crossing a border of an RFC are considered in the calculation. The presented data might differ from the data gathered in the national systems due to data quality differences between individual IMs.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Number of trains per border - Part 1*

	2020	2021	2022
Total DE - CZ:	30,408	28,737	26,675
Total CZ - SK:	18,611	18,880	16,688
Total CZ - AT:	11,395	11,816	12,027
Total AT - HU:	19,340	20,289	20,634
Total AT - SK:	7,744	8,714	8,749




*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Number of trains per border - Part 2*

	2020	2021	2022
Total SK - HU:	17,161	18,203	16,759
Total HU - RO:	11,302	11,893	10,904
Total RO - BG:	3,606	3,750	4,269
Total BG - GR:	212	396	454



*The calculation of this KPI is based on data in IMs' systems. The total sum of the figures per border does not correspond to the figure of the KPI 'Overall number of trains on the RFC' due to, among other reasons, the potential double-counting of trains crossing more than one border.

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

Ratio of capacity allocated by the C-OSS and the total allocated capacity*

Location Code	Between member states		Between operational points		Allocated by C-OSS 2020	Allocated by C-OSS 2021	Allocated by C-OSS 2022
EU00043	Germany	Czechia	Bad Schandau	Děčín	8.1%	7.2%	12.1%
EU00063	Czechia	Austria	Břeclav	Bernhardsthal	10.0%	9.5%	10.3%
EU00081	Czechia	Slovakia	Lanžhot	Kúty	8.1%	4.6%	8.7%
EU00103	Austria	Hungary	Baumgarten	Sopron	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00104	Austria	Hungary	Loipersbach	Sopron	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00105	Austria	Hungary	Nickelsdorf	Hegyeshalom	1.1%	6.6%	8.9%
EU00109	Austria	Slovakia	Kittsee	Bratislava-Petržalka	10.0%	1.3%	4.9%
EU00110	Austria	Slovakia	Marchegg	Devínska Nová Ves	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00170	Slovakia	Hungary	Štúrovo	Szob	47.3%	38.7%	28.6%
EU00171	Slovakia	Hungary	Komárno	Komárom	0.0%	13.9%	26.5%
EU00172	Slovakia	Hungary	Rusovce	Rajka	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
EU00187	Bulgaria	Greece	Svilengrad	Dikea	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00188	Bulgaria	Greece	Kulata	Promachon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EU00194	Hungary	Romania	Biharkeresztes	Oradea	27.0%	30.0%	58.7%
EU00196	Hungary	Romania	Lőkősháza	Curtici	74.1%	86.6%	59.5%
EU00207	Romania	Bulgaria	Giurgiu Nord	Ruse	21.9%	25.9%	29.5%
EU00208	Romania	Bulgaria	Golenti	Vidin tovarna	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

*In case of border points with more than one C-OSS responsible (in case of common offer or in case of overlapping sections), the KPI figure presents the combined number of all C-OSSs concerned.

Disclaimer

- » The KPIs reflect the performance of each individual RFC, therefore, when comparing the figures of various RFCs, the specificities of each one have to be considered. Each RFC may apply any additional KPIs, which are published in their annual reports on their websites and/or in the [Customer Information Platform](#) (CIP), where applicable.
- » Please refer to the annual reports of individual RFCs for comprehensive information concerning the figures and their analysis. In addition, you can find the description of each commonly applicable KPI in the RNE '[Guidelines for Key Performance Indicators of Rail Freight Corridors](#)'.